

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/653,214	09/03/2003	Takeshi Matsunuma	67161-084	. 2751		
7590 11/03/2004 McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			EXAM	EXAMINER		
		•	ESTRADA, I	ESTRADA, MICHELLE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2823			
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

=		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
•			53,214	MATSUNUMA, T	AKESHI			
Office Action Summary		Exan	niner	Art Unit	T			
		Micho	elle Estrada	2823				
5002	The MAILING DATE of this commun				ddress			
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comre period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) period for reply is specified above, the maximum streeto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. 0) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a me statutory minimum of thin and will expire SIX (6) MONne application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 13 October	2004.					
· · · · · ·		2b)⊠ This action						
3)□	·=							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.						
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Si) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
'-	Claim(s) 6-9 are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any obje			•				
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	^ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to			• •	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreian priorit	v under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,	, (, (, (-,				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority			oplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies				l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•			3.			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''	received.				
Attachmen	t(e) ·							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) T Intentieur 9	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Neierences Ched (FTO-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	TO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO/SB/08)	_	nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	<del></del> ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/653,214

Art Unit: 2823

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II (claims 6-9) in the reply filed on 10/13/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the first species, which pertains to the First Embodiment described in page 6, lines 15-20, comprising a barrier layer formed to cover said interconnection and a dielectric layer of said capacitor are formed with the same layer (See Fig. 9); and the second species, which pertains to the Second Embodiment described in page 11, lines 6-9, comprising a barrier layer formed to cover said interconnection and a dielectric layer of said capacitor are formed with the layers different from each other (See Figs. 18-23).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,214

Art Unit: 2823

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Estrada whose telephone number is 571-272-1858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 2823

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

Michelle Estrada
Examiner
Art Unit 2823

ME October 29, 2004